

Recall:

Doob's Convergence Theorem
Let X_n be a supermartingale with
 $\sup_n E(|X_n|) < \infty$. Then, $X_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$
exists a.s. and is finite.

We will now explore martingales with stronger assumptions:

L^2 - Martingale

In the following, we consider martingales X_n with finite second moments: $E(X_n^2) < \infty$.

We define the inner product $\langle U, V \rangle = E(UV)$

and have the following orthogonality property:

for $s \leq t \leq u \leq v$ and an L^2 -martingale M_n

$$\langle M_t - M_s, M_v - M_u \rangle = 0$$

"increments at different times are independent"

Proof: $E(M_v - M_u | \mathcal{F}_k)$
 $= E(M_v | \mathcal{F}_k) - E(M_u | \mathcal{F}_k) = M_k - M_k = 0$
 for all $k \leq u \leq v$.

Likewise, $E(M_t - M_s | \mathcal{F}_k) = 0$ for all $k \leq s \leq t$.

Consider

$$E(\underbrace{(M_t - M_s)}_{\mathcal{F}_t \text{ measurable}} (M_v - M_u) | \mathcal{F}_t) = (M_t - M_s) E(M_v - M_u | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ = (M_t - M_s) \cdot 0 = 0 \text{ (a.s.)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E((M_t - M_s)(M_v - M_u)) = E(E((M_t - M_s)(M_v - M_u) | \mathcal{F}_t)) \\ = E(0) = 0$$

So increments over disjoint intervals are orthogonal w.r.t. \langle, \rangle .

If we write $M_n = M_0 + (M_1 - M_0) + (M_2 - M_1) + \dots + (M_n - M_{n-1})$

then all the summands are pairwise orthogonal and Pythagoras' theorem gives us

$$E(M_n^2) = E(M_0^2) + E(M_1 - M_0)^2 + \dots + E((M_n - M_{n-1})^2)$$

$$\text{So } \sup_n E(M_n^2) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 < \infty.$$

Here also $E(|M_n|) \leq \sqrt{E(M_n^2)} < \infty$

so the convergence theorem applies:

$M_n \rightarrow M_\infty$ a.s.

It also holds that $E((X_\infty - X_n)^2) = \|X_\infty - X_n\|_2^2$ tends to 0. That is, $M_n \rightarrow M_\infty$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

One can verify this as follows:

$$E((M_{n+r} - M_r)^2) = \sum_{k=r+1}^{n+r} E((M_k - M_{k-1})^2)$$

by orthogonality.

Now let $n \rightarrow \infty$: $E((M_\infty - M_r)^2)$

$$= E\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M_{n+r} - M_r)^2\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E((M_{n+r} - M_r)^2)$$

$$= \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} E((M_k - M_{k-1})^2) < \infty.$$

Now as $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$E((M_\infty - M_r)^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the special case where M_n is a sum of independent random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

$$M_0 = 0, \quad M_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \quad \text{with}$$

$$\sigma_k^2 = \text{Var}(X_k) < \infty. \quad \text{If } \mathbb{E}(X_k) = 0 \text{ for all } k,$$

then M_n is a martingale.

Theorem If $\sum \sigma_k^2 < \infty$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n$ exists and is almost surely finite.

$$\text{Proof: } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}((M_k - M_{k-1})^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_k^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^2.$$

" $\text{Var}(X_k)$

So convergence follows. [Why? Work out details] \square

Remark: If the X_k are also uniformly bounded, the converse also holds: If the sum $\sum X_k$ converges a.s., then $\sum \sigma_k^2 < \infty$.

[Why? Exercise]

Example: Let X_1, X_2, \dots be random variables with $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$, and consider the random sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k X_k$, $\sup_k |a_k| < \infty$.

Note that $\text{Var}(a_k X_k) = \mathbb{E}((a_k X_k)^2) = a_k^2$.

So the theorem above shows that the random sum converges (a.s.) if and only if $\sum a_k^2 < \infty$.

Strong law of large numbers for L^2 random var.

We will combine our L^2 martingale results with results from real analysis:

Cesàro's lemma: If b_n is a seq of non-neg. reals with $b_n \uparrow \infty$ and v_n is a convergent sequence of reals with $v_n \rightarrow v_{\infty}$, then

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - b_{k-1}) v_k \rightarrow v_{\infty}.$$

Note: wlog, $b_0 = 0$ and then $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k - b_{k-1}}{b_n} = 1$,

so LHS is a weighted average of v_k .

Kronecker's lemma: Let b_n be a non-neg seq of reals with $b_n \uparrow \infty$. Let x_n be an arbitrary seq. of reals and write $s_n = x_1 + \dots + x_n$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{b_n}$ converges, then $\frac{s_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$.

Let Y_n be a sequence of independent rand. variables with $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Y_n) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2} < \infty$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n}$ converges a.s.

This is because $\text{Var}\left(\frac{Y_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2}$ and we can apply the prev. convergence theorem.

Kronecker's lemma with $b_n = n$ and $x_n = Y_n$ gives

$$\frac{s_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{n} \text{ converges for a.e. } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Remark: The strong law of large numbers holds for all Y_n s.t. $\sum \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2} < \infty$ (rather than $\mathbb{E}(Y_n^4) \leq k$)

Remark: If X_n is an i.i.d. seq. of random variables with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_n)$, then $Y_n = X_n - \mu$ satisfies:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathbb{E}(Y_n) = 0 \\ \bullet \text{Var}(Y_n) = \sigma^2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2} = \sigma^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} < \infty.$$

Hence, $\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n}{n} + \mu \rightarrow \mu$ almost surely.

We will slightly tweak this method with a truncation approach:

Kolmogorov's truncation lemma:

Let (X_n) be a seq. of i.i.d random variables.

Assume $X \sim X_n$ is integrable and $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mu$.

Write $Y_n = \begin{cases} X_n & \text{if } |X_n| \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then the following hold:

$$1) \mathbb{E}(Y_n) \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$2) P(Y_n = X_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n) = 1,$$

$$3) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Proof: 1) $|Y_n| \leq |X_n|$ and hence

$E(|Y_n|) \leq E(|X_n|) = E(|X|) < \infty$. Thus by dominated convergence, $E(Y_n) \rightarrow E(X) = \mu$.

2)

$$P(Y_n \neq X_n) = P(|X_n| > n). \text{ Thus}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} P(Y_n \neq X_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > n)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X| > n)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E(I_{\{|X| > n\}})$$

$$= E\left(\underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{\{|X| > n\}}}_{\# \text{ of integers smaller than } |X|}\right) \leq E|X| < \infty$$

The statement then follow with B.C. lemma.

3) We have $\text{Var}(Y_n) = E(Y_n^2) - (E(Y_n))^2 \leq E(Y_n^2)$

$$\text{So } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{n^2} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E(Y_n^2)}{n^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E(X_n^2 \cdot I_{\{|X_n| \leq n\}})}{n^2}$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E(X^2 \cdot I_{\{|X| \leq n\}})}{n^2} = E(|X|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^2} I_{\{|X| \leq n\}})$$

$$= E(|X|^2 \sum_{n \geq |X|} \frac{1}{n^2}) \leq E(|X|^2 \frac{2}{\max\{1, |X|\}}) = E(2|X|) < \infty$$

where (*) follows from:

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \leq \frac{2}{n(n+1)} = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{1}{n^2} &\leq \sum_{n \geq k} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{k} - \frac{2}{k+1} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{k+1} - \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + \dots \\ &= \frac{2}{k}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finally:

Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers (LLN)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, identically distributed random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{n} (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mu \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof: Define Y_n as above (truncation).

Note that $\frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ and $\frac{1}{n} (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)$ a.s. have the same limit as they only differ finitely many times (by 2)).

Now

$$\frac{1}{n} (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n) = \frac{(Y_1 - \mathbb{E}(Y_1)) + \dots + (Y_n - \mathbb{E}(Y_n))}{n} + \frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{E}(Y_1) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(Y_n))$$

The first summand satisfies Pearson's criteria:

$$E(Y_j - E(Y_j)) = 0, \quad \sum_j \frac{\text{Var}(Y_j - E(Y_j))}{j^2} = \sum_j \frac{\text{Var} Y_j}{j^2}$$

which is finite by (3). Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (E(Y_1) + \dots + E(Y_n))$$

which equals μ by Cesàro's lemma and (1). \square

Doob decomposition

Recall:

$$E(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \begin{cases} \geq X_{n-1} & \text{sub-} \\ = X_{n-1} & \text{martingale} \\ \leq X_{n-1} & \text{super-} \end{cases}$$

Let X_n be an adapted process wrt. (\mathcal{F}_n) .

Then we can always find a previsible process A_n and a martingale M_n s.t.

$$X_n = X_0 + M_n + A_n \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 = A_0 = 0.$$

This decomposition is unique (up to a null set).

From this we also get

X_n is a super-/submartingale



A_n is decreasing/increasing a.s.

Proof: Suppose we are given the decomposition:

$$X_n - X_{n-1} = M_n - M_{n-1} + A_n - A_{n-1}.$$

This gives $\mathbb{E}(X_n - X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$

$$= \mathbb{E}(M_n - M_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) + \mathbb{E}(A_n - A_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 0 \\ \text{martingale} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ A_n - A_{n-1} \\ \text{previsible} \end{array}.$$

$$\text{Thus } A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k - A_{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_k - X_{k-1} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1})$$

is uniquely determined and so is $M_n = X_n - X_0 - A_n$ (a.s.).

Conversely, one can check that this choice of

M_n, A_n works.

□